arXiv:1402.1193v2 [math.AP] 12 Nov 2015

SYMMETRY RESULTS FOR FRACTIONAL ELLIPTIC SYSTEMS AND RELATED PROBLEMS

MOSTAFA FAZLY AND YANNICK SIRE

Contents

1. Introduction and main results 1
1.1. Main results 3
2. Preliminary results 4
3. Symmetry of solutions and energy estimates 5
3.1. The case of dimension $n = 2$ 5
3.2. The case of dimension $n = 3$ 7
4. Optimality of energy estimates via a monotonicity formula 12
5. Hamiltonian Identities 13
6. Structure of the nonlinearity $H(\mathbf{u})$ for radial solutions 15
7. Liouville theorems 17
References 19

Abstract. We study elliptic gradient systems with fractional laplacian operators on the whole space

$$(-\Delta)^{\mathbf{s}}\mathbf{u} = \nabla H(\mathbf{u}) \ \text{ in } \ \mathbf{R}^n,$$

where $\mathbf{u} : \mathbf{R}^n \to \mathbf{R}^m$, $H \in C^{2,\gamma}(\mathbf{R}^m)$ for $\gamma > \max(0, 1 - 2\min\{s_i\})$, $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, \cdots, s_m)$ for $0 < s_i < 1$ and $\nabla H(\mathbf{u}) = (H_{u_i}(u_1, u_2, \cdots, u_m))_i$. We prove De Giorgi type results for this system for certain values of $\mathbf{s}$ and in lower dimensions, i.e. $n = 2, 3$. Just like the local case, the concepts of orientable systems and $H-$monotone solutions, established in [18], play the key role in proving symmetry results. In addition, we provide optimal energy estimates, a monotonicity formula, a Hamiltonian identity and various Liouville theorems.

1. Introduction and main results

This paper is devoted to several symmetry results, qualitative properties and Liouville-type theorems for solutions of non local elliptic systems. Non local equations have led to several active research areas, in both applied and purely theoretical aspects for the past recent years. The prototypical operator involved is the so-called fractional laplacian $(-\Delta)^s$ for $s \in (0, 1)$. It is a Fourier multiplier of symbol $|\xi|^{2s}$, see [23]. Despite its interest in harmonic analysis (see the book [23] for the first systematic study of potential analysis of this operator) it is also of great importance in the probability theory. Indeed, the fractional laplacian is the basic example of infinitesimal generator of Levy processes, see the book of Bertoin [5] for an extensive study of such stochastic processes. Levy processes are processes whose generators are given by the following formula (up to a normalizing constant)

$$\mathcal{I}u(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} (u(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}) + u(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) - 2u(\mathbf{y}))\mu(d\mathbf{y})$$

for sufficiently smooth (say) functions u and where $\mu(d\mathbf{y})$ is a Levy measure, i.e. a positive function on $\mathbf{R}^n$ such that $\mu(\{0\}) = 0$ and

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \min(|\mathbf{x}|^2, 1)\mu(d\mathbf{x}) < \infty.$$

The first author is pleased to acknowledge the support of a University of Alberta start-up grant RES0019810. The second author is supported by the ANR project "HAB" and the ERC Starting grant "EPSILON".

In the case of the fractional laplacian, one has

$$(-\Delta)^s u(\mathbf{x}) = \text{P.V.} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \frac{u(\mathbf{x}) - u(\mathbf{y})}{|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}|^{n+2s}}\, d\mathbf{y},$$

where P.V. stands for the principal value in the Cauchy sense.

We consider the following system

$$(-\Delta)^{\mathbf{s}} \mathbf{u} = \nabla H(\mathbf{u}) \ \text{ in } \ \mathbf{R}^n, \tag{1.1}$$

where $\mathbf{u} : \mathbf{R}^n \to \mathbf{R}^m$, $H \in C^{2,\gamma}(\mathbf{R}^m)$ for $\gamma > \max(0, 1 - 2\min\{s_i\})$, $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, \cdots, s_m)$ where $0 < s_i < 1$ and $\nabla H(\mathbf{u}) = (H_{u_i}(u_1, u_2, ...u_m))_i$. The notation H_{u_i} stands for the partial derivative $\frac{\partial H}{\partial u_i}$. Therefore each component satisfies the equation

$$(-\Delta)^{s_i} u_i = \partial_{u_i} H(\mathbf{u}) \ \text{ in } \ \mathbf{R}^n.$$

For the local problems that is when $\mathbf{s} = 1$, the above system has been studied for various purposes because of the interesting structure of the system. We refer interested readers to [2, 18] for symmetry results and to [11] for the regularity of extremal solutions of eigenvalue problems.

It is now a well known fact, and extensively used for these equations, that the fractional laplacian can be realized as the boundary operator (more precisely the Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator) of a suitable extension in the half-space (see [9]). In view of this result, we will be considering the following extended system of equations

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{div}(y^{a_i} \nabla v_i) &= 0 \ \text{ in } \ \mathbf{R}^{n+1}_+ = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n, y > 0\}, \\ -\lim_{y\to 0} y^{a_i} \partial_y v_i &= d_{s_i} \partial_{v_i} H(\mathbf{v}) \ \text{ in } \ \partial \mathbf{R}^{n+1}_+, \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

where $a_i = 1 - 2s_i$ and $d_{s_i} = \frac{\Gamma(1-s_i)}{2^{2s_i-1}\Gamma(s_i)}$. Here v_i is the extension of the function u_i.

The main results of the present paper deal with the solutions of (1.2). The direct consequences of these results, in the light of [9], leads us to similar results for the original system (1.1).

Our results are inspired by a famous conjecture of De Giorgi announced in [12]. This conjecture concerns the flatness of level-sets of bounded monotone solutions of the scalar Allen-Cahn equation. The De Giorgi's conjecture is known to be true in $n = 2$ by Ghoussoub-Gui [19], in $n = 3$ by Ambrosio-Cabre [3], in $4 \le n \le 8$ by Savin [25] (with an additional natural hypothesis). A counterexample is provided in $n \ge 9$ by Del Pino-Kowalczyk-Wei [13]. In addition, Fazly-Ghoussoub in [18] established De Giorgi type results for elliptic systems of the form $\Delta \mathbf{u} = \nabla H(\mathbf{u})$ where $\mathbf{u} : \mathbf{R}^n \to \mathbf{R}^m$ in dimensions $n = 2, 3$. Corresponding symmetry results for non local equations are provided by Cabré-Sire in [8] and by Sire-Valdinoci in [26] when $n = 2$ and by Cabré-Cinti in [6] for $n = 3$. Moreover, Dipierro-Pinamonti in [14] provided symmetry results for the system (1.1) when $n = m = 2$.

Before stating our main results, we would like to define the following concepts.

Definition 1.1. We say that a solution $\mathbf{u} = (u_i)_{i=1}^m$ of (1.1) is H-monotone if the following hold,

(1) For every $i \in \{1, \cdots, m\}$, v_i is strictly monotone in the x_n-variable (i.e., $\partial_n v_i \neq 0$).
(2) For $i < j$, we have

$$\partial_{u_i u_j} H(\mathbf{u}) \partial_n u_i(\mathbf{x}) \partial_n u_j(\mathbf{x}) \ge 0 \text{ for all } x \in \mathbf{R}^n. \tag{1.3}$$

Definition 1.2. We shall say that the system (1.1) is orientable, if there exist nonzero functions $\theta_k \in C^1(\mathbf{R}^{n+1}_+)$, $k = 1, \cdots, m$, which do not change sign, such that for all i, j with $1 \le i < j \le m$, we have

$$\partial_{u_i u_j} H(\mathbf{u}) \theta_i(\mathbf{x}) \theta_j(\mathbf{x}) \ge 0 \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{R}^n. \tag{1.4}$$

Similarly, if the condition (1.4) holds for the extension $\mathbf{v}$, then we say (1.2) is orientable.

This is a combinatorial assumption on the sign of the nonlinearity H.

Definition 1.3. (1) A solution $\mathbf{v} = (v_i)_i$ of the system (1.2) in $\mathbf{R}^{n+1}_+$ is said to be *pointwise-stable*, if there exist $(\phi_i)_{i=1}^m$ in $C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^{n+1}_+)$ that do not change sign such that for all $i = 1, \ldots, m$

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{div}(y^{a_i} \nabla \phi_i) &= 0 \ \text{ in } \ \mathbf{R}^{n+1}_+, \\ -\lim_{y\to 0} y^{a_i} \partial_y \phi_i &= d_{s_i} \sum_{j=1}^m \partial_{v_i v_j} H(\mathbf{v}) \phi_j \ \text{ in } \ \partial \mathbf{R}^{n+1}_+, \end{cases} \tag{1.5}$$

and $\partial_{v_i v_j} H(\mathbf{v}) \phi_j \phi_i \ge 0$ for $1 \le i < j \le m$.

(2) A solution $\mathbf{u} = (u_i)_i$ of the system (1.1) in $\mathbf{R}^n$ is said to be *pointwise-stable*, if there exist $(\phi_i)_{i=1}^m$ in $C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ that do not change sign such that for all $i = 1, \dots, m$

$$(-\Delta)^{s_i}\phi_i = \sum_{j=1}^m \partial_{u_i u_j} H(\mathbf{u})\phi_j \quad \text{in } \mathbf{R}^n, \tag{1.6}$$

and $\partial_{u_i u_j} H(\mathbf{u})\phi_j\phi_i \geq 0$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq m$.

Indeed, consider a bounded pointwise-stable solution $\mathbf{u}$ of (1.1). Then the extension $\mathbf{v}$ is constructed by convolving each component by the Poisson kernel associated to the operator $\operatorname{div}(y^{1-2s_i}\nabla)$. Therefore, since the Poisson kernel is non negative, the function $\mathbf{v}$ will be bounded and pointwise-stable.

Definition 1.4. *We say that $\mathbf{v} = (v_i)_i$ is a layer solution of (1.2) if $\mathbf{v} = (v_i)_i$ is a bounded solution of (1.2) such that for each i the directional derivative $\partial_{x_n} v_i$ does not change sign and $\lim_{x_n\to\infty} \mathbf{v} = \alpha$ and $\lim_{x_n\to-\infty} \mathbf{v} = \beta$.*

Notation 1.1. *We fix the following notations throughout the paper.*

(1) $s_* = \min_{i=1}^m\{s_i\}$, $s^* = \max_{i=1}^m\{s_i\}$ *and* $0 < s_* \leq s^* < 1$.
(2) $B_R^+ = \{X = (\mathbf{x}, y) \in \mathbf{R}^{n+1}, |\mathbf{X}| < R\}$, $\partial^+ B_R^+ = \partial B_R^+ \cap \{y > 0\}$ *and* $\Gamma_R^0 = \partial B_R^+ \cap \{y = 0\}$.
(3) $C_R = B_R \times (0, R) \subset \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$.

1.1. **Main results.** We can now state our main results. The following theorem is a symmetry result for $H-$monotone solutions of (1.2). Note that similar result holds for pointwise-stable and stable solutions.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $n \leq 3$ and $1/2 \leq s_* < 1$. Suppose that $\mathbf{v}$ is a bounded H-monotone solution of the orientable system (1.2). Then, there exist a constant $\mathbf{\Gamma}_i \in \mathbf{S}^{n-1}$ and $v_i^* : \mathbf{R}^+ \times \mathbf{R}^+ \to \mathbf{R}$ such that*

$$v_i(\mathbf{x}, y) = v_i^*(\mathbf{\Gamma}_i \cdot \mathbf{x}, y)$$

for all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{R}^n$ and $i = 1, \cdots, m$.

Remark 1.1. *The case of $0 < s_* < 1/2$ seems a challenging problem, even in the case of scalar equation, and will remain as an open problem.*

The second main result is a Hamiltonian identity.

Theorem 1.2. (1) *Let $n = 1$ and $\mathbf{v}$ be a layer solution of (1.2). Assume that $s_i = s \in (0, 1)$ for every $i = 1, ..., m$. Then the following identity holds for any $x \in \mathbf{R}$*

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \int_0^\infty y^{1-2s}\left[(\partial_x v_i)^2 - (\partial_y v_i)^2\right] dy = 2d_s\left[H(\mathbf{v}(x, 0)) - H(\alpha)\right]. \tag{1.7}$$

(2) *Let $\mathbf{v}$ be a bounded radial solution of (1.2), i.e. $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}(|\mathbf{x}|, y)$. Assume furthermore that $s_i = s$ for any i. Then, the following function is nonincreasing in r,*

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \int_0^\infty y^{1-2s}\left[(\partial_r v_i)^2 - (\partial_y v_i)^2\right] dy - 2d_s H(\mathbf{v}(r, 0)). \tag{1.8}$$

The following theorem is a structural property on the nonlinearity to admit radial solutions.

Theorem 1.3. *Assume that $n > 1$ and $\mathbf{v} = (v_i)_i$ is a radial solution of (1.2) such that $\lim_{|\mathbf{x}|\to\infty} \mathbf{v}(|\mathbf{x}|, 0) = 0$. Then $\partial_{v_i} H(0) = 0$ and $H(\mathbf{v}(0, 0)) < H(0)$. Moreover, if $v_i(|\mathbf{x}|, y)$ is decreasing in $|\mathbf{x}|$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $y > 0$, then*

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^m \partial_{v_i v_j} H(0) \leq 0.$$

Finally, we present a Liouville theorem for nonlocal gradient systems with non sign changing nonlinearities in lower dimensions.

Theorem 1.4. *Suppose that $\mathbf{v} = (v_i)_i$ is a bounded pointwise-stable solution of (1.2) where $\nabla H \geq 0$. Then, each u_i is constant provided $n \leq 2(1+s_*)$. In particular when $0 < s_* < 1/2$ then $n \leq 2$ and when $1/2 \leq s < 1$ then $n \leq 3$.*

2. Preliminary results

In this section we collect some useful results for our purposes. Since the nonlinearity H is in $C^{2,\gamma}(\mathbf{R}^m)$ and $\mathbf{u}$ is assumed to be bounded, the proof of the next lemma follows directly from the regularity result of Cabré-Sire in [7].

Lemma 2.1. *Let H be a $C^{2,\gamma}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ function with $\gamma > \max(0, 1-2s_*)$. Then, any bounded solution of (1.1) is $C^{2,\beta}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ for some $0<\beta<1$ depending only on s_* and γ.*

Similarly, the next lemma is taken from [7]. Under the assumption of boundedness of $\mathbf{v}$, it applies to the system (1.2).

Lemma 2.2. *Let $R>0$. Let $\varphi \in C^{\sigma}(\Gamma^0_{2R})$ for some $\sigma \in (0,1)$ and $u \in L^\infty(B^+_{2R}) \cap H^1(B^+_{2R}, y^{1-2s})$ be a weak solution of*

$$\begin{cases} div(y^{1-2s}\nabla u) = 0 & \text{in } B^+_{2R} \subset \mathbf{R}^{n+1}_+ \\ -\lim_{y\to 0} y^{1-2s_i}\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = \varphi & \text{on } \Gamma^0_{2R}. \end{cases}$$

Then, there exists $\beta \in (0,1)$ depending only on n, s_i, and σ, such that $u \in C^{0,\beta}(\overline{B^+_R})$ and $y^{1-2s_i}u_y \in C^{0,\beta}(\overline{B^+_R})$. Furthermore, there exist constants C^1_R and C^2_R depending only on n, a, R, $\|u\|_{L^\infty(B^+_{2R})}$ and also on $\|\varphi\|_{L^\infty(\Gamma^0_{2R})}$ (for C^1_R) and $\|\varphi\|_{C^\sigma(\Gamma^0_{2R})}$ (for C^2_R) , such that

$$\|u\|_{C^{0,\beta}(\overline{B^+_R})} \le C^1_R \quad \text{and} \quad \|y^{1-2s_i}u_y\|_{C^{0,\beta}(\overline{B^+_R})} \le C^2_R.$$

From the previous lemmata, one deduces the following gradient estimates.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $\mathbf{v}$ be a bounded solution of (1.2). Then for every $i = 1, \cdots, m$ one has*

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} v_i| &\le \frac{C}{1+y} \quad \text{for} \quad (\mathbf{x}, y) \in \mathbf{R}^{n+1}_+ \\ |\partial_y v_i| &\le \frac{C}{y} \quad \text{for} \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{R}^n, y > 1 \\ |y^{a_i}\partial_y v_i^t| &\le C \quad \text{for} \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{R}^n, 0 < y < 1 \end{aligned}$$

The next lemma is a consequence of the previous regularity lemmata (as in [7]).

Lemma 2.4. *Suppose that the bounded vector function $\mathbf{v}$ is either a layer solution of (1.2) in dimension $n=1$ or a radial solution of (1.2) such that $\lim_{|\mathbf{x}|\to\infty} v(|\mathbf{x}|, 0)$ exists then for each $1 \le i \le m$, we have*

$$\lim_{|\mathbf{x}|\to\infty} \int_0^\infty y^{a_i}|\nabla v_i|^2 dy = 0.$$

As already mentioned, we investigate bounded solutions of (1.2). The following result is a consequence of the pointwise-stability. For the case of local elliptic systems, see [18].

Lemma 2.5. *Suppose that $\mathbf{v} = (v_i)_i$ is a pointwise-stable solution of system (1.2). Then the following stability inequality holds for orientable systems:*

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^m \int_{\partial\mathbf{R}^{n+1}_+} \sqrt{d_{s_i} d_{s_j}}\,\partial_{v_i v_j} H(\mathbf{v})\zeta_i\zeta_j d\mathbf{x} \le \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n+1}_+} y^{a_i}|\nabla\zeta_i|^2 d\mathbf{x}dy \tag{2.1}$$

for any $\zeta_i \in C^1_c(\mathbf{R}^{n+1}_+)$.

Proof: Since $\mathbf{v} = (v_i)_i$ is a pointwise-stable solution of system (1.2), there exists $\phi = (\phi_i)_i$ that satisfies (1.5). Multiple each equation by $\frac{\zeta_i^2}{\phi_i}$ and do integration by parts to get

$$-\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n+1}_+} y^{a_i}\nabla\phi_i \cdot \nabla\left(\frac{\zeta_i^2}{\phi_i}\right) + \int_{\partial\mathbf{R}^{n+1}_+} y^{a_i}\nabla\phi_i\cdot\nu\left(\frac{\zeta_i^2}{\phi_i}\right) = 0.$$

Using the boundary term of equation (1.5) we get

$$-2\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n+1}_+} y^{a_i}\nabla\phi_i\cdot\nabla\zeta_i\frac{\zeta_i}{\phi_i} + \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n+1}_+} y^{a_i}|\nabla\phi_i|^2\frac{\zeta_i^2}{\phi_i^2} + \int_{\partial\mathbf{R}^{n+1}_+} d_{s_i}\sum_{j=1}^m \partial_{v_i v_j}H(\mathbf{v})\phi_j\frac{\zeta_i^2}{\phi_i} = 0. \tag{2.2}$$

Applying the Young's inequality, for any i, we obtain

$$\int_{\partial\mathbf{R}^{n+1}_+} d_{s_i}\sum_{j=1}^m \partial_{v_iv_j}H(\mathbf{v})\frac{\phi_j}{\phi_i}\zeta_i^2 = \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n+1}_+} y^{a_i}\left(-|\nabla\phi_i|^2\frac{\zeta_i^2}{\phi_i^2}+2\nabla\phi_i\cdot\nabla\zeta_i\frac{\zeta_i}{\phi_i}\right) \tag{2.3}$$

$$\leq \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n+1}_+} y^{a_i}|\nabla\zeta_i|^2 \tag{2.4}$$

Taking the sum on both sides for $i=1,\cdots,m$, the integrand in the left-hand side of the above inequality is

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i,j} d_{s_i}\partial_{v_iv_j}H(\mathbf{v})\frac{\phi_j}{\phi_i}\zeta_i^2 &= \sum_i d_{s_i}\partial_{v_iv_j}H(\mathbf{v})\zeta_i^2+\sum_{i\neq j} d_{s_i}\partial_{v_iv_j}H(\mathbf{v})\frac{\phi_j}{\phi_i}\zeta_i^2\\
&= \sum_i d_{s_i}\partial_{v_iv_j}H(\mathbf{v})\zeta_i^2+\sum_{i<j} d_{s_i}\partial_{v_iv_j}H(\mathbf{v})\frac{\phi_j}{\phi_i}\zeta_i^2+\sum_{i>j} d_{s_i}\partial_{v_iv_j}H(\mathbf{v})\frac{\phi_j}{\phi_i}\zeta_i^2\\
&= \sum_i d_{s_i}\partial_{v_iv_j}H(\mathbf{v})\zeta_i^2+\sum_{i<j} d_{s_i}\partial_{v_iv_j}H(\mathbf{v})\frac{\phi_j}{\phi_i}\zeta_i^2+\sum_{i<j} d_{s_j}\partial_{v_iv_j}H(\mathbf{v})\frac{\phi_i}{\phi_j}\zeta_j^2\\
&= \sum_i d_{s_i}\partial_{v_iv_j}H(\mathbf{v})\zeta_i^2+\sum_{i<j}\partial_{v_iv_j}H(\mathbf{v})(\phi_i\phi_j)^{-1}\left(d_{s_i}\phi_j^2\zeta_i^2+d_{s_j}\phi_i^2\zeta_j^2\right)\\
&\geq \sum_i d_{s_i}\partial_{v_iv_j}H(\mathbf{v})\zeta_i^2+2\sum_{i<j}\sqrt{d_{s_i}d_{s_j}}\partial_{v_iv_j}H(\mathbf{v})\zeta_i\zeta_j \ \text{ since } \partial_{v_iv_j}H(\mathbf{v})(\phi_i\phi_j)^{-1}\geq 0\\
&= \sum_{i,j}\sqrt{d_{s_i}d_{s_j}}\partial_{v_iv_j}H(\mathbf{v})\zeta_i\zeta_j.
\end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof.

□

Definition 2.1. *A solution* $\mathbf{v}$ *of (1.2) that satisfies the stability inequality (2.1) is called stable solution.*

3. Symmetry of solutions and energy estimates

In this section we prove a proof for Theorem 1.1. Let us divide this section into two parts. A first one is devoted to the proof in dimension $n=2$. The result is known by [14] in the case of two equations. We generalize it to any number of equations, though the method is similar. The second part is devoted to the case of dimension $n=3$.

3.1. The case of dimension $n=2$.

We first derive a geometric Poincaré formula, which is valid in *any* dimension $n\geq 1$ and any number of equations $m\geq 1$. This is a generalization of the geometric Poincaré formula given in [27] that is used by Farina-Sciunzi-Valdinoci [17], for the first time in the scalar case, and by Fazly-Ghoussoub [18], in the case of systems, to prove certain De Giorgi type results.

Lemma 3.1. *Assume that* $m,n\geq 1$ *and* $\mathbf{v}=(v_i)_i$ *is a poinwise-stable solution of (1.2). Then, for any* $\eta=(\eta_k)_{k=1}^m\in C_c^1(\mathbf{R}^{n+1}_+)$*, the following inequality holds;*

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^m\frac{1}{d_{s_i}}\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n+1}_+} y^{a_i}|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}v_i|^2|\nabla\eta_i|^2 &\geq \sum_{i=1}^m\frac{1}{d_{s_i}}\int_{\{|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}v_i|\neq 0\}\cap\mathbf{R}^{n+1}_+}\left(|\nabla v_i|^2\mathcal{A}_i^2+|\nabla_{T_i}|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}v_i||^2\right)\eta_i^2\\
&\quad+\sum_{i\neq j}\int_{\partial\mathbf{R}^{n+1}_+}\left(|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}v_i||\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}v_j|\eta_i\eta_j-\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}v_i\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}v_j\eta_i^2\right)\partial_{v_iv_j}H(\mathbf{v}),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

where ∇_{T_i} *stands for the tangential gradient along a given level set of* v_i *and* $\mathcal{A}_i^2$ *for the sum of the squares of the principal curvatures of such a level set.*

Proof: Since $\mathbf{v} = (v_i)_i$ is a poinwise-stable solution of (1.2), from Lemma 2.5 the stability inequality (2.1) hold. Test the stability inequality with $\zeta_i := |\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} v_i|\eta_i$ where each $\eta_i \in C_c^1(\mathbf{R}_+^{n+1})$, to get

$$I := \sum_{i,j=1}^m \int_{\partial \mathbf{R}_+^{n+1}} \sqrt{d_{s_i} d_{s_j}} \partial_{v_i v_j} H(\mathbf{v}) |\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} v_i||\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} v_j| \eta_i \eta_j d\mathbf{x} \tag{3.2}$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{n+1}} y^{a_i} |\nabla \left(|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} v_i|\eta_i\right)|^2 d\mathbf{x}dy =: J. \tag{3.3}$$

Simplifying I we see that

$$I := \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{\partial \mathbf{R}_+^{n+1}} d_{s_i} \partial_{v_i v_i} H(\mathbf{v}) |\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} v_i|^2 \eta_i^2 d\mathbf{x} + \sum_{i \neq j} \int_{\partial \mathbf{R}_+^{n+1}} \sqrt{d_{s_i} d_{s_j}} \partial_{v_i v_j} H(\mathbf{v}) |\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} v_i||\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} v_j| \eta_i \eta_j d\mathbf{x} \tag{3.4}$$

For the other term J, we have

$$J = \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{n+1}} y^{a_i} \left[|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} v_i|^2 |\nabla \eta_i|^2 + |\nabla |\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} v_i||^2 \eta_i^2 + \frac{1}{2} \nabla |\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} v_i|^2 \cdot \nabla \eta_i^2 \right] d\mathbf{x}dy. \tag{3.5}$$

Differentiate the i^{th} equation of (1.2) with respect to x_k for each $i = 1, 2, ..., m$ and $k = 1, 2, ..., n$ and multiply with $\partial_{x_k} v_i \eta_i^2$ to get

$$\operatorname{div}(y_i^a \nabla \partial_{x_k} v_i) \partial_{x_k} v_i \eta_i^2 = 0.$$

Integrate by parts to obtain

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{n+1}} y^{a_i} |\nabla \partial_{x_k} v_i|^2 \eta_i^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{n+1}} y^{a_i} \nabla |\partial_{x_k} v_i|^2 \cdot \nabla \eta_i^2 = \int_{\partial \mathbf{R}_+^{n+1}} \lim_{y \to 0} y^{a_i} (-\partial_y \partial_{x_k} v_i) \partial_{x_k} v_i \eta_i^2 \tag{3.6}$$

Differentiating the boundary term in of (1.2) with respect to x_k we get

$$\lim_{y \to 0} y^{a_i} (-\partial_y \partial_{x_k} v_i) \partial_{x_k} v_i \eta_i^2 = d_{s_i} \sum_{j=1}^m \partial_{v_i v_j} H(\mathbf{v}) \partial_{x_k} v_j \partial_{x_k} v_i \eta_i^2.$$

From this and (3.6) and taking sum on i we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{i=1}^m \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{n+1}} y^{a_i} |\nabla \partial_{x_k} v_i|^2 \eta_i^2 + \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{n+1}} y^{a_i} \nabla |\partial_{x_k} v_i|^2 \cdot \nabla \eta_i^2 \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m d_{s_i} \int_{\partial \mathbf{R}_+^{n+1}} \partial_{v_i v_i} H(\mathbf{v}) |\partial_{x_k} v_i|^2 \eta_i^2 + \sum_{j=1}^m d_{s_i} \int_{\partial \mathbf{R}_+^{n+1}} \partial_{v_i v_j} H(\mathbf{v}) \partial_{x_k} v_j \partial_{x_k} v_i \eta_i^2 \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

Taking sum on $k = 1, \cdots, n$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^m d_{s_i} \int_{\partial \mathbf{R}_+^{n+1}} \partial_{v_i v_i} H(\mathbf{v}) |\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} v_i|^2 \eta_i^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{n+1}} y^{a_i} \sum_{k=1}^n |\nabla \partial_{x_k} v_i|^2 \eta_i^2 \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{n+1}} y^{a_i} \nabla |\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} v_i|^2 \cdot \nabla \eta_i^2 \\ &- \sum_{j \neq i}^m d_{s_i} \int_{\partial \mathbf{R}_+^{n+1}} \partial_{v_i v_j} H(\mathbf{v}) \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} v_i \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} v_j \eta_i^2 \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Substitute (3.9) in $I \leq J$ where I is given in (3.4) and J is given in (3.5) then the term

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{n+1}} y^{a_i} \nabla |\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} v_i|^2 \cdot \nabla \eta_i^2$$

will be cancel out and we end up with

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m}\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n+1}_+\cap\{|\nabla v_i|\neq 0\}} y^{a_i}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n}|\nabla\partial_{x_k}v_i|^2-|\nabla|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}v_i||^2\right)\eta_i^2 \tag{3.9}$$

$$+\sum_{i\neq j}\int_{\partial\mathbf{R}^{n+1}_+}\partial_{v_iv_j}H(\mathbf{v})\left[\sqrt{d_{s_i}d_{s_j}}|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}v_i||\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}v_j|\eta_i\eta_j-d_{s_i}\partial_{v_iv_j}H(\mathbf{v})\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}v_i\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}v_j\eta_i^2\right] \tag{3.10}$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^{m}\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n+1}_+} y^{a_i}|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}v_i|^2|\nabla\eta_i|^2 \tag{3.11}$$

According to formula (2.1) given in [27], the following geometric identity between the tangential gradients and curvatures holds. For any $w\in C^2(\Omega)$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n}|\nabla\partial_k w|^2-|\nabla|\nabla w||^2=\begin{cases}|\nabla w|^2(\sum_{l=1}^{n-1}\kappa_l^2)+|\nabla_T|\nabla w||^2 & \text{for } x\in\{|\nabla w|>0\cap\Omega\},\\ 0 & \text{for } x\in\{|\nabla w|=0\cap\Omega\},\end{cases} \tag{3.12}$$

where κ_l are the principal curvatures of the level set of w at $\mathbf{x}$ and ∇_T denotes the orthogonal projection of the gradient along this level set . This completes the proof.

□

The proof of next lemma is straightforward and it is omitted.

Lemma 3.2. *Suppose that $\phi=(\phi_i)_i$ and $\psi=(\psi_i)_i$ are solutions of the linear equation*

$$\begin{cases}\operatorname{div}(y^{a_i}\nabla w_i) &= 0 \quad in\ \mathbf{R}^{n+1}_+,\\ -\lim_{y\to 0}y^{a_i}\partial_y w_i &= d_{s_i}\sum_{j=1}^{m}\partial_{v_iv_j}H(\mathbf{v})w_j \quad in\ \partial\mathbf{R}^{n+1}_+.\end{cases} \tag{3.13}$$

Assume that ϕ_i does not change sign for each i. Then, $\sigma=(\sigma_i)_i$ where the quotient $\sigma_i:=\frac{\psi_i}{\phi_i}$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases}\operatorname{div}(y^{a_i}\phi_i^2\nabla\sigma_i) &= 0 \quad in\ \mathbf{R}^{n+1}_+,\\ -\lim_{y\to 0}y^{a_i}\phi_i^2\partial_y\sigma_i &= d_{s_i}\sum_{j=1}^{m}\partial_{v_iv_j}H(\mathbf{v})\phi_i\phi_j(\sigma_j-\sigma_i) \quad in\ \partial\mathbf{R}^{n+1}_+.\end{cases} \tag{3.14}$$

The next theorem is crucial to get the symmetry result in dimension $n=2$. The proof is very similar to the case of $m=2$ given in [14] and we omit it here.

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose that $\mathbf{v}=(v_i)_i$ is a bounded stable solution of (1.2) such that*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m}\int_{B_R^+}y^{a_i}|\nabla v_i|^2\leq CR^2$$

where C is independent of R. Then, there exists a constant $\mathbf{\Lambda}_i\in\mathbf{S}^{n-1}$ and $v_i^*:\mathbf{R}^+\times\mathbf{R}\to\mathbf{R}$ such that

$$v_i(\mathbf{x},y)=v_i^*(\mathbf{\Lambda}_i\cdot\mathbf{x},y)$$

for all $(\mathbf{x},y)\in\mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ and $i=1,\cdots,m$.

3.2. **The case of dimension $n=3$.**

3.2.1. *A linear Liouville theorem.* In this part, we provide a linear Liouville theorem for an elliptic system in half-space. On the entire space $\mathbf{R}^n$ this theorem is given in [18]. For the scalar case, $m=1$, this type of Liouville theorem was noted by Berestycki, Caffarelli and Nirenberg in [4] and used by Ghoussoub-Gui [19] and later by Ambrosio and Cabré [3] to prove the De Giorgi conjecture in dimensions two and three. Then this theorem was improved by Moschini [24]. For nonlocal equations, this type linear Liouville theorem is given by Cabré-Sire [10]. Consider the set of functions with a limited growth as infinity as

$$\mathcal{F}=\left\{F:\mathbf{R}^+\to\mathbf{R}^+, F \text{ is nondecreasing and } \int_2^\infty\frac{1}{rF(r)}=\infty\right\}.$$

In particular, $F(r)=\log r$ belongs to this class and $F(r)=r$ does not belong to $\mathcal{F}$. As far as we know, this class of functions was considered by Karp [21, 22] for the first time. Here is the Liouville theorem.

Theorem 3.2. *Assume that for each $i = 1, \cdots, m$, $\phi_i \in L^\infty_{loc}(\overline{\mathbf{R}^{n+1}_+})$ is a positive function and $\sigma_i \in H^1_{loc}(\overline{\mathbf{R}^{n+1}_+}, y^{a_i})$ satisfy*

$$\limsup_{R\to\infty} \frac{1}{R^2 F(R)} \int_{C_R} \sum_{i=1}^m y^{a_i} \phi_i^2 \sigma_i^2 < \infty. \tag{3.15}$$

If $(\sigma_i)_{i=1}^m$ are solutions of

$$\begin{cases} -\sigma_i \operatorname{div}(y^{a_i}\phi_i^2 \nabla\sigma_i) & \leq & 0 \ \text{ in } \ \mathbf{R}^{n+1}_+, \\ -\lim_{y\to 0} y^{a_i}\phi_i\sigma_i\partial_y\sigma_i & \leq & \sum_{j=1}^n h_{ij} f(\sigma_j - \sigma_i)\sigma_i \ \text{ in } \ \partial\mathbf{R}^{n+1}_+, \end{cases} \tag{3.16}$$

where $0 \leq h_{i,j} \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbf{R}^n)$, $h_{i,j} = h_{j,i}$ and $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbf{R})$ is an odd function such that $f(t) \geq 0$ for $t \in \mathbf{R}^+$. Then, for all $i = 1, \cdots, m$, the functions σ_i are constant.

Proof: Note that using the equation (3.16) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div}(y^{a_i}\phi_i^2\sigma_i\nabla\sigma_i) &= \operatorname{div}(y^{a_i}\phi_i^2\nabla\sigma_i)\sigma_i + y^{a_i}\phi_i^2|\nabla\sigma_i|^2 \\ &\geq y^{a_i}\phi_i^2|\nabla\sigma_i|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Set $C_R = B_R \times (0,R)$. Integrating the above on C_R and using the boundary term in (3.16) we get

$$\begin{aligned} I := \int_{C_R} \sum_{i=1}^m y^{a_i}\phi_i^2|\nabla\sigma_i|^2 &\leq \int_{C_R} \sum_{i=1}^m \operatorname{div}(y^{a_i}\phi_i^2\sigma_i\nabla\sigma_i) \\ &= \int_{\partial^+ C_R} \sum_{i=1}^m y^{a_i}\phi_i^2\sigma_i\partial_\nu\sigma_i + \int_{B_R\times\{y=0\}} \sum_{i=1}^m y^{a_i}\phi_i^2\sigma_i(-\partial_y\sigma_i) \\ &\leq \int_{\partial^+ C_R} \sum_{i=1}^m y^{a_i}\phi_i^2\sigma_i\partial_\nu\sigma_i + \int_{B_R\times\{y=0\}} \sum_{i,j=1}^m h_{ij} f(\sigma_j - \sigma_i)\sigma_i \\ &=: J(R) + K(R). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $K \leq 0$ since

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i,j} h_{ij}(x)\sigma_i f(\sigma_j - \sigma_i) &= \sum_{i<j} h_{i,j}\sigma_i f(\sigma_j - \sigma_i) + \sum_{i>j} h_{i,j}\sigma_i f(\sigma_j - \sigma_i) \\ &= \sum_{i<j} h_{i,j}\sigma_i f(\sigma_j - \sigma_i) + \sum_{i<j} h_{i,j}\sigma_j f(\sigma_i - \sigma_j) \ \text{ since } \ h_{ij} = h_{ji} \\ &= -\sum_{i<j} h_{i,j}(\sigma_j - \sigma_i) f(\sigma_j - \sigma_i) \ \text{ because } f \text{ is odd.} \end{aligned}$$

Note also that

$$\begin{aligned} J(R) &\leq \int_{\partial^+ C_R} \left(\sum_{i=1}^m y^{a_i}\phi_i^2\sigma_i^2\right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^m y^{a_i}\phi_i^2|\nabla\sigma_i|^2\right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \left(\int_{\partial^+ C_R} \sum_{i=1}^m y^{a_i}\phi_i^2\sigma_i^2\right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{\partial^+ C_R} \sum_{i=1}^m y^{a_i}\phi_i^2|\nabla\sigma_i|^2\right)^{1/2} \\ &= (I'(R))^{1/2} \left(\int_{\partial^+ C_R} \sum_{i=1}^m y^{a_i}\phi_i^2\sigma_i^2\right)^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

From this we obtain

$$I(R) \leq J(R) \leq (I'(R))^{1/2} \left(\int_{\partial^+ C_R} \sum_{i=1}^m y^{a_i}\phi_i^2\sigma_i^2\right)^{1/2}$$

Therefore,

$$\left(\int_{\partial^+ C_R} \sum_{i=1}^m y^{a_i}\phi_i^2\sigma_i^2\right)^{-1} \leq \frac{I'(R)}{I^2(R)} \tag{3.17}$$

Suppose that at least one of the σ_i is not constant. Then there exists $R_0 > 0$ such that $I(R) > 0$ for every $R > R_0$.

$$\begin{aligned}(r_2-r_1)^2\left(\int_{C_{r_2}\setminus C_{r_1}}\sum_{i=1}^m y^{a_i}\phi_i^2\sigma_i^2\right)^{-1} &= (r_2-r_1)^2\left(\int_{r_1}^{r_2}dR\int_{\partial^+ C_R}\sum_{i=1}^m y^{a_i}\phi_i^2\sigma_i^2\right)^{-1}\\ &\le \int_{r_1}^{r_2}dR\left(\int_{\partial^+ C_R}\sum_{i=1}^m y^{a_i}\phi_i^2\sigma_i^2\right)^{-1}\\ &\le \frac{1}{I(r_1)}-\frac{1}{I(r_2)}\end{aligned}$$

Set $r_2 = 2^{j+1}r_*$ and $r_1 = 2^j r_*$ for some $r_* > R_0$ when $j = 0, \cdots, M$ we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^m \frac{2^{2j}}{\int_{C_{2^{j+1}r_*}}\sum_{i=1}^m y^{a_i}\phi_i^2\sigma_i^2} \le \frac{1}{I(r_*)}.$$

From this and the assumption (3.15) we obtain

$$\sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{F(2^{j+1}r_*)} \le C\sum_{j=1}^m \frac{2^{2j}}{\int_{C_{2^{j+1}r_*}}\sum_{i=1}^m y^{a_i}\phi_i^2\sigma_i^2} \le \frac{1}{I(r_*)}.$$

If we send $m \to \infty$, one can see that the series is divergent that is a contradiction.

□

3.2.2. *Energy estimate for layer solutions.* The energy functional is given by

$$E_R(\mathbf{v}) = \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{2d_{s_i}}\int_{C_R} y^{a_i}|\nabla v_i|^2 - \int_{B_R} H(\mathbf{v}) \tag{3.18}$$

where $C_R = B_R \times (0, R)$. then we derive energy estimates for monotone solutions of (1.1).

Theorem 3.3. *Suppose that $\mathbf{v} = (v_i)_i$ is a bounded H-monotone solution of (1.2) such that*

$$\lim_{x_n\to\infty}\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}', x_n) = \alpha$$

where $\alpha = (\alpha_i)_i \in \mathbf{R}^n$ and $H(\alpha) = 0$. Then for various values of s_*, the following holds.

(1) *If $1/2 < s_* < 1$, then*

$$E_R(\mathbf{v}) \le CR^{n-1}.$$

(2) *For the case $s_* = 1/2$, the energy bound is*

$$E_R(\mathbf{v}) \le CR^{n-1}\log R.$$

(3) *When $0 < s_* < 1/2$, then*

$$E_R(\mathbf{v}) \le CR^{n-2s_*}.$$

Proof: Define the shift function $v_i^t(\mathbf{x}, y) := v_i(\mathbf{x}', x_n + t, y)$ for $(\mathbf{x}', x_n, y) \in \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ and $t \in \mathbf{R}$. For each i, we have

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{div}(y^{a_i}\nabla v_i^t) &= 0 \text{ in } \mathbf{R}^{n+1}_+,\\ -\lim_{y\to 0} y^{a_i}\partial_y v_i^t &= d_{s_i}\partial_{v_i}H(\mathbf{v}^t) \text{ in } \partial\mathbf{R}^{n+1}_+,\end{cases} \tag{3.19}$$

where $|\mathbf{v}^t| \in L^\infty$ for every t. Furthermore $\mathbf{v}^t$ satisfies the pointwise bounds in Lemma 2.3 and for all $(\mathbf{x}, y) \in \mathbf{R}^{n+1}_+$ we have

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}|v_i^t(\mathbf{x}, y) - \alpha_i| + |\nabla v_i^t(\mathbf{x}, y)| = 0.$$

Therefore, $\lim_{t\to\infty} E_R(\mathbf{v}^t) = 0$ where $\mathbf{v}^t = (v_1^t, \cdots, v_m^t)$. Differentiating the energy functional with respect to t we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_t E_R(\mathbf{v}^t) &= \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{d_{s_i}} \int_0^R dy \int_{B_R} y^{a_i} \nabla v_i^t \cdot \nabla(\partial_t v_i^t) - \int_{B_R} \sum_{i=1}^m H_{v_i}(\mathbf{v}^t) \partial_t v_i^t \\
&= -\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{d_{s_i}} \int_0^R dy \int_{B_R} \operatorname{div}(y^{a_i} \nabla v_i^t) \partial_t v_i^t + \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{d_{s_i}} \int_0^R dy \int_{\partial B_R} y^{a_i} \nabla v_i^t \cdot \nu \partial_t v_i^t \\
&\quad -\sum_{i=1}^m \int_{B_R} H_{v_i}(\mathbf{v}^t) \partial_t v_i^t
\end{aligned}$$

Using (3.19) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_t E_R(\mathbf{v}^t) &= \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{d_{s_i}} \int_0^R dy \int_{\partial B_R} y^{a_i} \nabla v_i^t \cdot \nu \partial_t v_i^t - \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{B_R} H_{\mathbf{v}_i}(v^t) \partial_t v_i^t \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{d_{s_i}} \left(\int_0^R dy \int_{\partial B_R} y^{a_i} \nabla v_i^t \cdot \nu \partial_t v_i^t + \int_{B_R \times \{y=R\}} y^{a_i} \partial_y v_i^t \partial_t v_i^t \right)
\end{aligned}$$

Let us fix the following indices,

$$\partial_t v_\mu^t > 0 > \partial_t v_\lambda^t$$

when $\mu \in I$ and $\lambda \in J$ where $I \cup J = \{1, \cdots, m\}$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_t E_R(\mathbf{v}^t) &= \int_{\partial B_R} \int_0^R dy \left(\sum_{\mu\in I} \frac{1}{d_{s_\mu}} y^{a_\mu} \partial_\nu v_\mu^t \partial_t v_\mu^t + \sum_{\lambda \in J} \frac{1}{d_{s_\lambda}} y^{a_\lambda} \partial_\nu v_\lambda^t \partial_t v_\lambda^t \right) \\
&\quad + \int_{B_R \times \{y=R\}} \left(\sum_{\mu\in I} \frac{1}{d_{s_\mu}} y^{a_\mu} \partial_y v_\mu^t \partial_t v_\mu^t + \sum_{\lambda \in J} \frac{1}{d_{s_\lambda}} y^{a_\lambda} \partial_y v_\lambda^t \partial_t v_\lambda^t \right)
\end{aligned}$$

Since $|\nabla v_i^t| \in L^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ for each i, we have $-\frac{M}{1+y} \le \partial_\nu v_i^t \le \frac{M}{1+y}$ for $(x,y) \in \mathbf{R}_+^{n+1}$ and $-\frac{C}{y} \le \partial_y v_i^t \le \frac{C}{y}$ for $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$ and $y > 1$. Using these we get the following.

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_t E_R(\mathbf{v}^t) &\ge \int_{\partial B_R} \int_0^R dy \left(\sum_{\mu\in I} \frac{1}{d_{s_\mu}} y^{a_\mu} \left(-\frac{M}{1+y} \right) \partial_t v_\mu^t + \sum_{\lambda \in J} \frac{1}{d_{s_\lambda}} y^{a_\lambda} \left(\frac{M}{1+y} \right) \partial_t v_\lambda^t \right) \\
&\quad + \int_{B_R \times \{y=R\}} \left(\sum_{\mu\in I} \frac{1}{d_{s_\mu}} y^{a_\mu} \left(-\frac{C}{y} \right) \partial_t v_\mu^t + \sum_{\lambda \in J} \frac{1}{d_{s_\lambda}} y^{a_\lambda} \left(\frac{C}{y} \right) \partial_t v_\lambda^t \right)
\end{aligned}$$

Note that for every $T > 0$ we have

$$E_R(\mathbf{v}) = E_R(\mathbf{v}^T) - \int_0^T \partial_t E_R(\mathbf{v}^t) dt.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
E_R(\mathbf{v}) &\le E_R(\mathbf{v}^T) + M \int_{\partial B_R} \int_0^R dy \left(\sum_{\mu\in I} \frac{1}{d_{s_\mu}} \left(\frac{y^{a_\mu}}{1+y} \right) \int_0^T \partial_t v_\mu^t dt - \sum_{\lambda \in J} \frac{1}{d_{s_\lambda}} \left(\frac{y^{a_\lambda}}{1+y} \right) \int_0^T \partial_t v_\lambda^t dt \right) \\
&\quad + C \int_{B_R \times \{y=R\}} \left(\sum_{\mu\in I} \frac{1}{d_{s_\mu}} y^{a_\mu - 1} \int_0^T \partial_t v_\mu^t dt - \sum_{\lambda \in J} \frac{1}{d_{s_\lambda}} y^{a_\lambda - 1} \int_0^T \partial_t v_\lambda^t dt \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we can simplify the above as

$$\begin{aligned} E_R(\mathbf{v}) &\leq E_R(\mathbf{v}^T) + C\int_{\partial B_R}\int_0^R \left(\sum_{\mu\in I}\frac{y^{a_\mu}}{1+y}(v_\mu^T - v_\mu) + \sum_{\lambda\in J}\frac{y^{a_\lambda}}{1+y}(v_\lambda - v_\lambda^T)\right) dy \\ &\quad + C\int_{B_R\times\{y=R\}}\left(\sum_{\mu\in I} y^{a_\mu-1}(v_\mu^T - v_\mu) + \sum_{\lambda\in J} y^{a_\lambda-1}(v_\lambda - v_\lambda^T)\right). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $v_\mu^T \geq v_\mu$ and $v_\lambda \geq v_\lambda^T$ and $v_i \in L^\infty$. So,

$$E_R(v) \leq E_R(v^T) + C\int_{\partial B_R}\int_0^R \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{y^{a_i}}{1+y}dy + C\int_{B_R\times\{y=R\}}\sum_{i=1}^m y^{a_i-1}$$

Now sending $T\to\infty$ we get $E_R(v^T)=0$. Doing the integration we get

$$\begin{aligned} E_R(\mathbf{v}) &\leq C\sum_{i=1}^m \left(R^{n-1+a_i}\chi_{\{0<a_i<1\}} + R^{n-1}\chi_{\{-1<a_i<0\}} + R^{n-1}\log R\chi_{\{a_i=1/2\}} + R^{n+a_i-1}\right) \\ &= C\sum_{i=1}^m \left(R^{n-2s_i}\chi_{\{0<s_i<1/2\}} + R^{n-1}\chi_{\{1/2<s_i<1\}} + R^{n-1}\log R\chi_{\{s_i=1/2\}} + R^{n-2s_i}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Considering the notation $s_* := \min_{i=1}^m\{s_i\}$, we get the desired energy estimates.

□

Proof of Theorem 1.1: Let again $\phi_i := \partial_n u_i$ and $\psi_i := \nabla u_i\cdot\eta$ for any fixed $\eta = (\eta', 0) \in \mathbf{R}^{n-1}\times\{0\}$ in such a way that $\sigma_i := \frac{\psi_i}{\phi_i}$ is a solution of system (3.14). Set $h_{i,j}(\mathbf{x}) = H_{u_iu_j}\phi_i(\mathbf{x})\phi_j(\mathbf{x})$ and f to be the identity in Theorem 3.2. Note that $\mathbf{u}$ is a H-monotone solution, so $h_{i,j}\leq 0$. In dimension $n=2$, assumption (3.15) holds and Theorem 3.2 then yields that σ_i is constant, which finishes the proof as argued before.

In dimension $n=3$, we shall follow methods developed by Ambrosio-Cabré [3] and Alberti-Ambrosio-Cabré [1], in the case of local equations, that is used later by Fazly-Ghoussoub [18] in the case of local systems and by Cabré-Cinti [6] in the case of nonlocal equations.

We first note that $\mathbf{v}$ is a bounded stable solution of (1.2) in $\mathbf{R}^4_+$. So, the function $\bar{\mathbf{v}}(x_1,x_2,y) := \lim_{x_3\to\infty}\mathbf{v}(x_1,x_2,x_3,y)$ is also a bounded stable solution for (1.2) in $\mathbf{R}^3_+$. It follows from Theorem 3.1 that $\mathbf{v}$ is one dimensional and consequently the energy of $\bar{\mathbf{v}}$ in $C_R\subset\mathbf{R}^3_+$ is bounded by a multiple of R, which yields that

$$E_R(\bar{\mathbf{v}}) \leq CR^2, \tag{3.20}$$

where here $E_R(\mathbf{v}) = \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{2d_{s_i}}\int_{C_R} y^{a_i}|\nabla v_i|^2 d\mathbf{x}dy - \int_{B_R}(H(\mathbf{v})-c_{\mathbf{v}})\,d\mathbf{x}$ for $c_{\mathbf{v}} := \sup H(\mathbf{v})$. To finish the proof, we shall show that

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{2d_{s_i}}\int_{C_R} y^{a_i}|\nabla v_i|^2 d\mathbf{x}dy \leq CR^2\ \chi_{\{s_*>1/2\}} + CR^2\log R\ \chi_{\{s_*=1/2\}}. \tag{3.21}$$

Note that shifted function $\mathbf{v}^t(\mathbf{x}',y) := \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}', x_n+t, y)$ for $t\in\mathbf{R}$ and is also a bounded solution of (1.2), i.e.,

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{div}(y^{a_i}\nabla v_i^t) &= 0 \ \text{ in } \ \mathbf{R}^{n+1}_+ = \{x\in\mathbf{R}^n, y>0\}, \\ -\lim_{y\to 0} y^{a_i}\partial_y v_i^t &= d_{s_i}\partial_{v_i^t}H(\mathbf{v}^t) \ \text{ in } \ \partial\mathbf{R}^{n+1}_+. \end{cases} \tag{3.22}$$

Since v_i^t converges to $\bar{v}_i$ in $C^1_{loc}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ for all $i=1,\cdots,m$, we have

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} E_R(\mathbf{v}^t) = E_R(\bar{\mathbf{v}}).$$

Note that for every $T>0$ we have

$$E_R(\mathbf{v}) = E_R(\mathbf{v}^T) - \int_0^T \partial_t E_R(\mathbf{v}^t)dt.$$

Similar to the proof of Theorem 3.3 we have

$$E_R(\mathbf{v}) \le E_R(\mathbf{v}^T) + C\int_{\partial B_R}\int_0^R \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{y^{a_i}}{1+y}dy + C\int_{B_R\times\{y=R\}} \sum_{i=1}^m y^{a_i-1}$$

Sending $T\to\infty$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} E_R(\mathbf{v}) &\le E_R(\bar{\mathbf{v}}) + C\int_{\partial B_R}\int_0^R \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{y^{a_i}}{1+y}dy + C\int_{B_R\times\{y=R\}} \sum_{i=1}^m y^{a_i-1} \\ &\le CR^2 + C\int_{\partial B_R}\int_0^R \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{y^{a_i}}{1+y}dy + C\int_{B_R\times\{y=R\}} \sum_{i=1}^m y^{a_i-1} \\ &\le CR^2 + C\sum_{i=1}^m \left(R^{n-2s_i}\chi_{\{0<s_i<1/2\}} + R^{n-1}\chi_{\{1/2<s_i<1\}} + R^{n-1}\log R\chi_{\{s_i=1/2\}} + R^{n-2s_i}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that $1/2 < s_* < 1$ and $n = 3$. Then for any $1 \le i \le m$, we have $n - 2s_i \le n - 2s_* < n - 1$ and therefore

$$E_R(\mathbf{v}) \le CR^2 + CR^{n-1}\log R \le CR^2.$$

For the case $s_* = 1/2$ and $n = 3$, we have

$$E_R(\mathbf{v}) \le CR^2 + CR^{n-1}\log R \le CR^2\log R.$$

This finishes the the proof of (3.21).

□

4. Optimality of energy estimates via a monotonicity formula

Following ideas provided by Cabré-Cinti [6] for the scalar case and applying the Pohozaev identity, we prove the following monotonicity formula.

Theorem 4.1. *Let* $\mathbf{v}$ *be a bounded solution of* (1.2). *Assume furthermore that* $s_* = s_i$ *for every* $i = 1, \cdots, m$. *Then*

$$I(R) = \frac{1}{R^{n-2s_*}}\left(\frac{1}{2}\int_{B_R^+}\sum_{i=1}^m y^{a_i}|\nabla v_i|^2 d\mathbf{x}dy - \int_{B_R\times\{y=0\}} H(\mathbf{v})d\mathbf{x}\right) \tag{4.1}$$

is a nondecreasing function of R *when* $H(x_1, \cdots, x_n) \le 0$ *for all* $(x_1, \cdots, x_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n$.

Proof: Taking derivative of the function $I(R)$ with respect to R we get

$$\begin{aligned} I'(R) &= -\frac{n-2s_*}{2}R^{2s_*-n-1}\int_{B_R^+}\sum_{i=1}^m y^{a_i}|\nabla v_i|^2 d\mathbf{x}dy + (n-2s_*)R^{2s_*-n-1}\int_{B_R\times\{y=0\}} H(\mathbf{v})d\mathbf{x} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}R^{2s_*-n}\int_{\partial^+ B_R^+}\sum_{i=1}^m y^{a_i}|\nabla v_i|^2 d\mathbf{x}dy - R^{2s_*-n}\int_{\partial B_R\times\{y=0\}} H(\mathbf{v})d\mathbf{x}. \end{aligned}$$

Multiply (1.2) with $\mathbf{z}\cdot\nabla v_i$ where $\mathbf{z} = (\mathbf{x}, y)$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \mathbf{z}\cdot\nabla v_i \operatorname{div}(y^{a_i}\nabla v_i) \\ &= \operatorname{div}(y^{a_i}\nabla v_i\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{z}} v_i) - y^{a_i}\left(|\nabla v_i|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{z}\cdot\nabla|\nabla v_i|^2\right). \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$y^{a_i}\mathbf{z}\cdot\nabla|\nabla v_i|^2 = \operatorname{div}(y^{a_i}|\nabla v_i|^2\mathbf{z}) - y^{a_i}(n+1)|\nabla v_i|^2 - a_i y^{a_i}|\nabla v_i|^2.$$

For each $i = 1, \cdots, m$ and $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbf{R}_+^{n+1}$, we have

$$\operatorname{div}\left(y^{a_i}\mathbf{z}\cdot\nabla v_i\nabla v_i - \frac{1}{2}y^{a_i}|\nabla v_i|^2\mathbf{z}\right) + \frac{n-2s_i}{2}y^{a_i}|\nabla v_i|^2 = 0. \tag{4.2}$$

Integrate over $B_R^+ = \{\mathbf{X} = (\mathbf{x}, y) \in \mathbf{R}_+^{n+1};\ |\mathbf{X}| < R\}$ where we use the notation $\partial^+ B_R^+ = \partial B_R \times \{y > 0\}$. Apply the following identities

$$\int_{B_R^+} \operatorname{div}\left(y^{a_i} \nabla v_i \mathbf{z} \cdot \nabla v_i\right) = \int_{B_R \times \{y=0\}} y^{a_i} (-\partial_y v_i) \mathbf{z} \cdot \nabla v_i + \int_{\partial^+ B_R^+} y^{a_i} \partial_\nu v_i \mathbf{z} \cdot \nabla v_i \tag{4.3}$$

$$\int_{B_R^+} \operatorname{div}\left(y^{a_i} \mathbf{z} |\nabla v_i|^2\right) = \int_{\partial^+ B_R^+} y^{a_i} \mathbf{z} \cdot \nu |\nabla v_i|^2 \tag{4.4}$$

Note that on $\partial^+ B_R^+$ we have $\mathbf{z} = R\nu$. Using the boundary term in (1.2) we simplify (4.3) and (4.4) as

$$\int_{B_R^+} \operatorname{div}\left(y^{a_i} \nabla v_i \mathbf{z} \cdot \nabla v_i\right) = \int_{B_R \times \{y=0\}} \partial_{v_i} H(v) \mathbf{x} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} v_i + R \int_{\partial^+ B_R^+} y^{a_i} (\partial_\nu v_i)^2 d\mathcal{H}^n, \tag{4.5}$$

$$\int_{B_R^+} \operatorname{div}\left(y^{a_i} \mathbf{z} |\nabla v_i|^2\right) = R \int_{\partial^+ B_R^+} y^{a_i} |\nabla v_i|^2. \tag{4.6}$$

From (4.5), (4.6) and (4.2) we get

$$\begin{aligned} R \int_{\partial^+ B_R^+} y^{a_i} (\partial_\nu v_i)^2 d\mathcal{H}^n + \int_{B_R \times \{y=0\}} \partial_{v_i} H(\mathbf{v}) \mathbf{x} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} v_i \\ - \frac{R}{2} \int_{\partial^+ B_R^+} y^{a_i} |\nabla v_i|^2 + \frac{n - 2s_i}{2} \int_{B_R^+} y^{a_i} |\nabla v_i|^2 = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{B_R} \partial_{v_i} H(\mathbf{v}) \mathbf{x} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} v_i &= \int_{B_R} \mathbf{x} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} H(\mathbf{v}) d\mathbf{x} = \int_{B_R} \operatorname{div}(\mathbf{x} H(\mathbf{v})) - n H(\mathbf{v}) d\mathbf{x} \\ &= -n \int_{B_R} H(\mathbf{v}) d\mathbf{x} + R \int_{\partial B_R} H(\mathbf{v}) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

Summing (4.7) on i and using (4.8) we get

$$\begin{aligned} R \int_{\partial^+ B_R^+} \sum_{i=1}^m y^{a_i} (\partial_\nu v_i)^2 d\mathcal{H}^n - n \int_{B_R} H(\mathbf{v}) d\mathbf{x} + R \int_{\partial B_R} H(\mathbf{v}) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \\ - \frac{R}{2} \int_{\partial^+ B_R^+} \sum_{i=1}^m y^{a_i} |\nabla v_i|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{n - 2s_i}{2} \int_{B_R^+} y^{a_i} |\nabla v_i|^2 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

From this we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{n}{2} \int_{B_R^+} \sum_{i=1}^m y^{a_i} |\nabla v_i|^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^m s_i \int_{B_R^+} y^{a_i} |\nabla v_i|^2 + n \int_{B_R} H(\mathbf{v}) d\mathbf{x} - R \int_{\partial B_R} H(\mathbf{v}) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \\ &\quad - R \int_{\partial^+ B_R^+} \sum_{i=1}^m y^{a_i} (\partial_\nu v_i)^2 d\mathcal{H}^n + \frac{R}{2} \int_{\partial^+ B_R^+} \sum_{i=1}^m y^{a_i} |\nabla v_i|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Substitute this in $I'(R)$ we get

$$I'(R) R^{n+1-2s_*} = R \int_{\partial^+ B_R^+} \sum_{i=1}^m y^{a_i} (\partial_\nu v_i)^2 d\mathcal{H}^n + \int_{B_R^+} \sum_{i=1}^m (s_* - s_i) y^{a_i} |\nabla v_i|^2 - 2s_* \int_{B_R \times \{y=0\}} H(\mathbf{v}) d\mathbf{x}.$$

Suppose that $s_i = s_*$ for every i. Then $I'(R) \geq 0$ when $H \leq 0$. □

5. Hamiltonian Identities

In this section we prove a proof for Theorem 1.2. Note that similar Hamiltonian identities for nonlocal scalar equations is given by Cabré-Sire in [10] and for the case of local gradient systems, i.e. $\mathbf{s} = 1$ in (1.1), established by Gui in [20].

Consider a bounded solution $\mathbf{v}$ of the extension problem (1.2) when $s_i = s$ for every i. Suppose that $\mathbf{v} \to \alpha$ as $x_n \to \infty$ and $\mathbf{v} \to \beta$ as $x_n \to -\infty$.

Proof of part (1) of Theorem 1.2: Suppose that $\mathbf{v}$ is a solution of the extension problem. Define

$$w(x) := \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{m}\int_0^\infty y^{1-2s}\left[(\partial_x v_i)^2 - (\partial_y v_i)^2\right] dy. \tag{5.1}$$

Taking the derivative with respect to x we obtain

$$\partial_x w(x) := \sum_{i=1}^{m}\int_0^\infty y^{1-2s}\left[\partial_x v_i \partial_{xx} v_i - \partial_y v_i \partial_{xy} v_i\right] dy. \tag{5.2}$$

Note that using the equation (1.2) we have

$$y^a \partial_{xx} v_i + \partial_y\left(y^a \partial_y v_i\right) = 0.$$

From this and (5.2) we obtain

$$\partial_x w(x) := \sum_{i=1}^{m}\int_0^\infty \left[-\partial_x v_i \partial_y\left(y^a \partial_y v_i\right) - y^a \partial_y v_i \partial_{xy} v_i\right] dy. \tag{5.3}$$

Note that from integration by parts we obtain the following

$$-\int_0^\infty \partial_x v_i \partial_y\left(y^a \partial_y v_i\right) dy = \int_0^\infty y^a \partial_{xy} v_i \partial_y v_i dy - \lim_{y\to 0} y^a \partial_x v_i \partial_y v_i. \tag{5.4}$$

From this and (5.3) we get

$$\partial_x w(x) = -\sum_{i=1}^{m}\lim_{y\to 0} y^a \partial_x v_i \partial_y v_i. \tag{5.5}$$

From the boundary term in (1.1) we get

$$\partial_x w(x) = d_s \sum_{i=1}^{m} \partial_{v_i} H(\mathbf{v}) \partial_x v_i = d_s \partial_x\left(H(\mathbf{v})\right).$$

Therefore,

$$\partial_x\left(w(x) - d_s H(\mathbf{v}(x,0))\right) = 0.$$

Since $\mathbf{v}$ is a layer solution, we obtain

$$w(x) - d_s H(\mathbf{v}(x,0)) + d_s H(\alpha) = 0.$$

If we send $x_n \to -\infty$, then we get that $H(\alpha) = H(\beta)$.

□

Proof of part (2) of Theorem 1.2: Suppose that $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}(|\mathbf{x}|, y)$ is radially symmetric in $\mathbf{x}$. For every i and $r = |\mathbf{x}|$ we have

$$\begin{cases} \partial_{rr} v_i + \frac{n-1}{r}\partial_r v_i + \partial_{yy} v_i + \frac{a_i}{y}\partial_y v_i &= 0 \ \text{ in } \ (0,\infty)\times(0,\infty), \\ -\lim_{y\to 0} y^{1-2s_i}\partial_y v_i &= d_{s_i}\partial_{v_i} H(\mathbf{v}) \ \text{ in } \ (0,\infty)\times(y=0). \end{cases} \tag{5.6}$$

Set $a = 1-2s$. Define the following function of r,

$$w(r) := \sum_{i=1}^{m}\int_0^\infty \frac{y^{1-2s}}{2}\left[(\partial_r v_i)^2 - (\partial_y v_i)^2\right] dy. \tag{5.7}$$

Taking derivative of the above with respect to r we get

$$\partial_r w(r) := \sum_{i=1}^{m}\int_0^\infty y^{1-2s}\left[\partial_r v_i \partial_{rr} v_i - \partial_y v_i \partial_{ry} v_i\right] dy. \tag{5.8}$$

Note that using the equation (5.6) we have

$$\partial_{rr} v_i = -\frac{n-1}{r}\partial_r v_i - \partial_{yy} v_i - \frac{a}{y}\partial_y v_i$$

Substituting this in $\partial_r w(r)$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_r w(r) &= -\frac{n-1}{r}\sum_{i=1}^m\int_0^\infty y^a(\partial_r v_i)^2dy-\sum_{i=1}^m\int_0^\infty y^a\partial_{yy}v_i\partial_r v_i dy\\ &\quad -a\sum_{i=1}^m\int_0^\infty y^{a-1}\partial_r v_i\partial_y v_i dy-\sum_{i=1}^m\int_0^\infty y^a\partial_y v_i\partial_{ry}v_i.\end{aligned}\tag{5.9}$$

Applying integration by parts we obtain

$$\int_0^\infty y^a\partial_y v_i\partial_{ry}v_i=-\int_0^\infty\partial_y\left(y^a\partial_y v_i\right)\partial_r v_i dy+\lim_{y\to 0}y^a\partial_r v_i\partial_y v_i.$$

that gives us

$$\begin{aligned}-\lim_{y\to 0}y^a\partial_r v_i\partial_y v_i &= -\sum_{i=1}^m\int_0^\infty y^a\partial_{yy}v_i\partial_r v_i dy\\ &\quad -a\sum_{i=1}^m\int_0^\infty y^{a-1}\partial_r v_i\partial_y v_i dy-\sum_{i=1}^m\int_0^\infty y^a\partial_y v_i\partial_{ry}v_i.\end{aligned}$$

From this and (5.9) we get

$$\partial_r w(r)=-\frac{n-1}{r}\sum_{i=1}^m\int_0^\infty y^a(\partial_r v_i)^2dy-\lim_{y\to 0}y^a\sum_{i=1}^m\partial_r v_i\partial_y v_i.\tag{5.10}$$

We now apply the boundary term in (5.6) to get

$$-\lim_{y\to 0}y^a\sum_{i=1}^m\partial_r v_i\partial_y v_i=\frac{1}{d_s}\sum_{i=1}^m\partial_{v_i}H(\mathbf{v})\partial_r v_i=d_s\partial_r\left(H(\mathbf{v})\right).$$

From this and (5.10) we get the following

$$\partial_r\left(w(r)-\frac{1}{d_s}\partial_r\left(H(\mathbf{v})\right)\right)=-\frac{n-1}{r}\sum_{i=1}^m\int_0^\infty y^a(\partial_r v_i)^2dy<0.$$

This completes the proof.

□

6. Structure of the nonlinearity $H(\mathbf{u})$ for radial solutions

This section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.3. The proof follows the one in the scalar case [7]. Consider the translated (or slided) functions $\mathbf{v}^t(\mathbf{x},y)=\mathbf{v}(x_1+t,\cdots,x_n+t,y)$. It is straightforward to see that these functions solve the extension problem (1.2). By the Hölder estimates in Lemma 2.2, the translated solutions converge locally uniformly and up to subsequences, to a solution of the same problem (1.2). From the assumption $\lim_{|\mathbf{x}|\to\infty}v(|\mathbf{x}|,0)=0$ such limit is identically constant. From this for each i and $|\mathbf{x}|\to 0$ we conclude that

$$||v_i||_{L^\infty(B_R^+(\mathbf{x},0))}+||\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}v_i||_{L^\infty(B_R^+(\mathbf{x},0))}+||y^{a_i}\partial_y v_i||_{L^\infty(B_R^+(\mathbf{x},0))}\to 0$$

that implies

$$\partial_{v_i}H(0)=0.$$

We now apply the monotonicity formula (6.1) when $r\to\infty$ and $r=0$ to get

$$-\sum_{i=1}^m\int_0^\infty y^{1-2s}(\partial_y v_i)^2dy-2d_sH(\mathbf{v}(0,0))\geq -2d_sH(0)\tag{6.1}$$

Therefore, $H(\mathbf{v}(0,0))\leq H(0)$.

Now differentiate the equation (1.2) with respect to $r=|\mathbf{x}|$ to get

$$\partial_{rr}v_i+\frac{n-1}{r}\partial_r v_i+\partial_{yy}v_i+\frac{a_i}{y}\partial_y v_i=0\quad(0,\infty)\times(0,\infty).$$

Set $\phi_i := -u_r > 0$ in $(\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times (0,\infty)$. Straightforward calculations show that

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{rcl} \mathrm{div}(y^{a_i}\nabla\phi_i) & = & \frac{n-1}{|\mathbf{x}|^2} y^{a_i}\phi_i \ \text{ in } \ (\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times (0,\infty), \\ -\lim_{y\to 0} y^{1-2s_i}\partial_y\phi_i & = & d_{s_i}\sum_{j=1}^m \partial_{v_iv_j}H(\mathbf{v})\phi_j \ \text{ in } \ (\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times (y=0) \end{array} \right. \tag{6.2}$$

For any $\mathbf{x}_0 \in \mathbf{R}^n$, define the shift functions $\phi_i^{\mathbf{x}_0} := \phi_i(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_0, y) > 0$ in $(\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \{\mathbf{x}_0\}) \times (0,\infty)$ that satisfies

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{rcl} \mathrm{div}(y^{a_i}\nabla\phi_i^{\mathbf{x}_0}) & = & \frac{n-1}{|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_0|^2} y^{a_i}\phi_i^{\mathbf{x}_0} \ \text{ in } \ (\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times (0,\infty), \\ -\lim_{y\to 0} y^{1-2s_i}\partial_y\phi_i^{\mathbf{x}_0} & = & d_{s_i}\sum_{j=1}^m \partial_{v_iv_j}H(\mathbf{v}^{\mathbf{x}_0})\phi_j^{\mathbf{x}_0} \ \text{ in } \ (\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times (y=0) \end{array} \right. \tag{6.3}$$

where $v_i^{\mathbf{x}_0} := v_i(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_0, y)$ for every i. Consider the test function $\zeta \in C^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$ such that it vanishes on $\partial B_R \times [0,R)$ and $B_R \times \{y=R\}$. Then for any $|\mathbf{x}_0| > R$ multiply the boundary equation of (6.3) with $\frac{\zeta^2}{\phi_i^{\mathbf{x}_0}}$ and integrate over B_R to obtain

$$d_{s_i}\sum_{j=1}^m \int_{B_R} \partial_{v_iv_j}H(\mathbf{v}^{\mathbf{x}_0})\phi_j^{\mathbf{x}_0}\frac{\zeta^2}{\phi_i^{\mathbf{x}_0}} = \int_{C_R} \mathrm{div}(y^{a_i}\nabla\phi_i^{\mathbf{x}_0})\frac{\zeta^2}{\phi^{\mathbf{x}_0}} + y^{a_i}\nabla\phi_i^{\mathbf{x}_0}\cdot\nabla\left(\frac{\zeta^2}{\phi_i^{\mathbf{x}_0}}\right) \tag{6.4}$$

$$= \int_{C_R} \frac{n-1}{|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_0|^2} y^{a_i}\zeta^2 + y^{a_i}\nabla\phi_i^{\mathbf{x}_0}\cdot\nabla\left(\frac{\zeta^2}{\phi_i^{\mathbf{x}_0}}\right) \tag{6.5}$$

$$= \int_{C_R} \frac{n-1}{|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_0|^2} y^{a_i}\zeta^2 + y^{a_i}\left[2\frac{\zeta}{\mathbf{x}_0}\nabla\zeta\cdot\nabla\phi_i^{\mathbf{x}_0} - \zeta^2\frac{|\nabla\phi_i^{\mathbf{x}_0}|^2}{|\phi_i^{\mathbf{x}_0}|^2}\right] \tag{6.6}$$

$$\leq \int_{C_R} \frac{n-1}{|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_0|^2} y^{a_i}\zeta^2 + y^{a_i}|\nabla\zeta|^2 \tag{6.7}$$

Sending $|\mathbf{x}_0| \to \infty$ then

$$\sum_{j=1}^m \partial_{v_iv_j}H(0)\int_{B_R}\phi_j^{\mathbf{x}_0}\frac{\zeta^2}{\phi_i^{\mathbf{x}_0}} \leq \frac{1}{d_{s_i}}\int_{C_R} y^{a_i}|\nabla\zeta|^2. \tag{6.8}$$

Taking the sum on i we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i,j=1}^m \partial_{v_iv_j}H(0)\frac{\phi_j^{\mathbf{x}_0}}{\phi_i^{\mathbf{x}_0}}\zeta^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^m \partial_{v_iv_i}H(0)\zeta^2 + \sum_{i<j}\partial_{v_iv_j}H(0)\left(\frac{\phi_j^{\mathbf{x}_0}}{\phi_i^{\mathbf{x}_0}} + \frac{\phi_i^{\mathbf{x}_0}}{\phi_j^{\mathbf{x}_0}}\right)\zeta^2 \\ &\geq \sum_{i,j=1}^m \partial_{v_iv_j}H(0)\zeta^2. \end{aligned}$$

From this and (6.8), we obtain

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^m \partial_{v_iv_j}H(0) \leq \frac{\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{d_{s_i}}\int_{C_R} y^{a_i}|\nabla\zeta|^2 d\mathbf{x}dy}{\int_{B_R}\zeta^2(\mathbf{x},0)d\mathbf{x}}. \tag{6.9}$$

Suppose that $\eta_R > 0$ is the first eigenfunction of the operator $-\Delta_{\mathbf{x}}$ in B_R with Dirichlet boundary conditions. Now define the smooth function $\chi_R(y) : \mathbf{R}^+ \to [0,1]$ that satisfies $\chi_R(y) = 1$ for $y \in [0, R/2]$ and $\chi_R(y) = 0$ for $y \in [R,\infty)$ and $||\chi_R'||_{L^\infty(R/2,R)} < C/R$. Now set $\zeta_R(\mathbf{x},y) := \eta_R(\mathbf{x})\chi_R(y)$ and test (6.9) on ζ_R. Note that ζ_R is zero on $\partial B_R \times [0,R)$ and $B_R \times \{y=R\}$. For the left-hand side we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{C_R} y^{a_i}|\nabla\zeta|^2 &= \int_{C_R} y^{a_i}\left[|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}\eta_R|^2\chi_R^2 + \eta_R^2|\chi_R'|^2\right] \\ &= \left[\lambda_R\int_0^R y^{a_i}\chi_R^2 dy + \int_0^R y^{a_i}|\chi_R'|^2 dy\right]\int_{B_R}\eta_R^2. \end{aligned} \tag{6.10}$$

Note that $\lambda_R = \frac{C(n)}{R^2}$ is the first eigenvalue of the operator $-\Delta_{\mathbf{x}}$ in B_R with Dirichlet boundary conditions and $\eta_R(\mathbf{x}) = \zeta_R(\mathbf{x}, 0)$ because $\chi_R(0) = 1$. From this and (6.10) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{C_R} y^{a_i}|\nabla\zeta|^2 d\mathbf{x}dy &= \left[C(n)R^{-2}\int_0^R y^{a_i}\chi_R^2 dy + \int_0^R y^{a_i}|\chi_R'|^2 dy\right]\int_{B_R}\zeta_R^2(\mathbf{x},0)d\mathbf{x}\\ &\le CR^{-2}\int_0^R y^{a_i}dy\int_{B_R}\zeta_R^2(\mathbf{x},0)d\mathbf{x}\\ &\le C(1-s_i)^{-1}R^{-2s_i}\int_{B_R}\zeta_R^2(\mathbf{x},0)d\mathbf{x}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for every i we have

$$\frac{1}{d_{s_i}}\int_{C_R} y^{a_i}|\nabla\zeta|^2 d\mathbf{x}dy \le CR^{-2s_i}\int_{B_R}\zeta_R^2(\mathbf{x},0)d\mathbf{x}$$

where $C = C(n, s_i)$ is independent of R. Sending $R \to \infty$ and using (6.9) we get

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^m \partial_{v_i v_j} H(0) \le 0.$$

7. Liouville theorems

In this section we assume that the right-hand side of the nonlinearity in system (1.1) has a sign, i.e. $\nabla H(u) \ge 0$. For such systems, we can provide a Liouville theorem for general nonlinearities as Theorem 1.4. The proof of the theorem follows from several lemmata. For the scalar case, $m = 1$, similar methods are developed by Dupaigne-Sire in [16]. Also, similar Liouville theorems are given for the scalar local problem, $s = m = 1$, by Dupaigne-Farina in [15].

Lemma 7.1. *Suppose that $\mathbf{v} = (v_i)_i$ is a bounded solution of (1.2) where $\nabla H \ge 0$. Then, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for all $R > 1$ and any $i = 1, \cdots, m$*

$$\int_{B_R^+} y^{1-2s_i}|\nabla v_i|^2 \le CR^{n-2s_i} \tag{7.1}$$

Proof: Since v_i is bounded, multiply the equation with $(v_i - ||v_i||_\infty)\phi_R$ where ϕ_R is a positive test function. This gives us

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n+1}_+}(v_i - ||v_i||_\infty)\phi_R \operatorname{div}(y^{a_i}\nabla v_i) = 0. \tag{7.2}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n+1}_+} y^{a_i}\nabla[(v_i - ||v_i||_\infty)\phi_R]\cdot\nabla v_i &= \int_{\partial\mathbf{R}^{n+1}_+} y^{a_i}(v_i - ||v_i||_\infty)(-\partial_y v_i)\phi_R\\ &= \int_{\partial\mathbf{R}^{n+1}_+} y^{a_i}(v_i - ||v_i||_\infty)\partial_{v_i}H(\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x},0))\phi_R \le 0,\end{aligned} \tag{7.3}$$

where the assumption $\nabla H \ge 0$ is used. From this we get

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n+1}_+} y^{a_i}|\nabla v_i|^2\phi_R &\le -\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n+1}_+} y^{a_i}(v_i - ||v_i||_\infty)\nabla\phi_R\cdot\nabla v_i\\ &= \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n+1}_+} y^{a_i}\nabla(v_i - ||v_i||_\infty)^2\cdot\nabla\phi_R\\ &= -\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n+1}_+}(v_i - ||v_i||_\infty)^2\operatorname{div}(y^{a_i}\nabla\phi_R) + \frac{1}{2}\int_{\partial\mathbf{R}^{n+1}_+}(v_i - ||v_i||_\infty)^2(-y^{a_i}\partial_y\phi_R)\\ &=: I + J\end{aligned}$$

Using the fact that v_i is bounded and applying an appropriate test function ϕ_R we have

$$\begin{aligned} I &= -\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n+1}_+}(v_i - ||v_i||_\infty)^2\left(y^{a_i}\Delta_{\mathbf{x}}\phi_R + a_i y^{a_i-1}\partial_y\phi_R\right) \\ &\leq C\int_{B^+_{2R}}\left(\frac{y^{a_i}}{R^2} + \frac{y^{a_i-1}}{R}\right)d\mathbf{x}dy \\ &\leq CR^n\int_R^{2R}\left(\frac{y^{a_i}}{R^2} + \frac{y^{a_i-1}}{R}\right)dy \\ &\leq CR^{n-2s_i} \end{aligned}$$

where the constant C is independent of R. Note that we have used the fact that the test function ϕ_R can be chosen such that $|\partial_y\phi_R| \leq CR^{-1}$ and $|\Delta_{\mathbf{x}}\phi_R| \leq CR^{-2}$ on B^+_R. Similarly, using these properties we have $|y^{a_i}\partial_y\phi_R| \leq CR^{-2s_i}$. This yields

$$J \leq CR^{n-2s_i}.$$

This finishes the proof.

□

The next lemma is a characterization of bounded pointwise-stable solutions of (1.2) when $n = 1$.

Lemma 7.2. *Suppose that $\mathbf{v} = (v_i)_i$ is a bounded pointwise-stable solution of (1.2) when $n = 1$. Then, for every $1 \leq i \leq m$ either each $\partial_x v_i$ vanishes in $\overline{\mathbf{R}^2_+}$ or it does not change sign in $\overline{\mathbf{R}^2_+}$.*

Proof: The function v_i is a pointwise-stable solution of

$$\left\{\begin{array}{rcl} \operatorname{div}(y^{a_i}\nabla v_i) &=& 0 \text{ in } \mathbf{R}^2_+, \\ -\lim_{y\to 0} y^{a_i}\partial_y v_i &=& d_{s_i}\partial_{v_i}H(\mathbf{v}) \text{ in } \partial\mathbf{R}^2_+. \end{array}\right. \tag{7.4}$$

Therefore, there exits $\phi = (\phi_i)_i$ such that for each i the function ϕ_i does not change sign and it satisfies

$$\left\{\begin{array}{rcl} \operatorname{div}(y^{a_i}\nabla\phi_i) &=& 0 \text{ in } \mathbf{R}^2_+, \\ -\lim_{y\to 0} y^{a_i}\partial_y\phi_i &=& \sum_{i=1}^m d_{s_i}\partial_{v_i v_j}H(\mathbf{v})\phi_j \text{ in } \partial\mathbf{R}^2_+. \end{array}\right. \tag{7.5}$$

For each i, set $\sigma_i = \frac{\partial_x v_i}{\phi_i}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div}(y^{a_i}\phi_i^2\nabla\sigma_i) &= \operatorname{div}(y^{a_i}\phi_i^2\nabla v_i) - \operatorname{div}(y^{a_i}\partial_x v_i\nabla\phi_i) \\ &= \operatorname{div}(y^{a_i}\nabla v_i)\phi_i + y^{a_i}\nabla\phi_i\cdot\nabla\partial_x v_i - \operatorname{div}(y^{a_i}\nabla\phi_i)\partial_x v_i - y^{a_i}\nabla\phi_i\cdot\nabla\partial_x v_i \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

It is straightforward to see that $-\lim_{y\to 0} y^{a_i}\partial_y\sigma_i = 0$ on $\partial\mathbf{R}^2_+$. Now multiply the equation (1.2) by $v_i\phi_R$ where ϕ_R is a test function and integrate over $\mathbf{R}^2_+$. Then,

$$\sum_{i=1}^m\int_{\mathbf{R}^2_+} y^{a_i}|\nabla v_i|^2\phi_R^2 + 2\sum_{i=1}^m\int_{\mathbf{R}^2_+} y^{a_i}\nabla\phi_R\cdot\nabla v_i\phi_R v_i = \sum_{i=1}^m\int_{\partial\mathbf{R}^2_+} d_{s_i}\partial_{v_i}H(\mathbf{v}(x,0))v_i\phi_R^2.$$

Using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we have

$$2\int_{\mathbf{R}^2_+} y^{a_i}\nabla\phi_R\cdot\nabla v_i\phi_R v_i \leq \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2_+} y^{a_i}|\nabla v_i|^2\phi_R^2 + 2\int_{\mathbf{R}^2_+} y^{a_i}|\nabla\phi_R|^2 v_i^2.$$

From this we obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^m\int_{\mathbf{R}^2_+} y^{a_i}|\nabla v_i|^2\phi_R^2 \leq C\sum_{i=1}^m\int_{\mathbf{R}^2_+} y^{a_i}|\nabla\phi_R|^2 v_i^2 + C\sum_{i=1}^m\int_{\mathbf{R}} d_{s_i}\partial_{v_i}H(\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x},0))v_i\phi_R^2.$$

From the boundedness of $\mathbf{v}$ and the fact that $\partial_{v_i}H$ is regular enough, we obtain the following bound by choosing the standard test function,

$$\sum_{i=1}^m\int_{B^+_R} y^{a_i}|\nabla v_i|^2\phi_R^2 \leq C(R^{1-2s_i} + R) \leq CR.$$

Note that $(\sigma_i\phi_i)^2 = (\partial_x v_i)^2$ and therefore

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m}\int_{B_R^+} y^{a_i}(\sigma_i\phi_i)^2 \leq C\sum_{i=1}^{m}\int_{B_R^+} y^{a_i}|\nabla v_i|^2 \leq CR.$$

Applying Liouville Theorem 3.2 we obtain that each σ_i is contact that is there exists constant C_i such that $\partial_x v_i = C_i\phi_i$ where ϕ_i does not change sign. This finishes the proof.

□

Proof of Theorem 1.4: The proof follows from Lemma 7.2 and Lemma 7.1. Suppose that $n \leq 2(1+s_*)$ and therefore for every $1 \leq i \leq m$ we have $n-2s_i \leq n-2s_*$. From Lemma 7.1 and Theorem 1.1 we conclude that $\mathbf{v}$ is a bounded pointwise-stable solution of (1.2) for $n=1$. Then, Lemma 7.2 implies that for every $1 \leq i \leq m$ either each $\partial_x v_i$ vanishes in $\overline{\mathbf{R}^2_+}$ or it does not change sign in $\overline{\mathbf{R}^2_+}$. If $\partial_x v_i$ vanishes in $\overline{\mathbf{R}^2_+}$ then there are constants $C_i, \hat{C}_i$ such that $v_i(x,y) = \hat{C}_i y^{1-s_i} + C_i$ and by the boundedness of v_i we have $\hat{C}_i = 0$. So, $v_i \equiv C_i$.

Now suppose that $v_i(x,y)$ is strictly monotone in x. From boundedness of v_i, we conclude that $v_i(x,0)$ has limits for $x \to \pm\infty$. Let's define $\lim_{x\to\infty} v_i = \alpha_i$ and $\lim_{x\to-\infty} v_i = \beta_i$. Since $v_i(x,0)$ is strictly monotone in x, for every $1 \leq i \leq m$ we have $\beta_i < \alpha_i$. Therefore, $\mathbf{v}(x,y) = (v_i(x,y))_i$ is a bounded layer solution of (1.2) for $n=1$ where $\lim_{x\to\infty}\mathbf{v} = \alpha = (\alpha_i)_i$ and $\lim_{x\to-\infty}\mathbf{v} = \beta = (\beta_i)_i$. Applying Theorem 1.2 we conclude that for any $x \in \mathbf{R}$ the following Hamiltonian identity holds.

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m}\int_0^\infty y^{1-2s}\left[(\partial_x v_i)^2 - (\partial_y v_i)^2\right]dy = 2d_s\left[H(\mathbf{v}(x,0)) - H(\alpha)\right]. \tag{7.6}$$

Now sending $x \to -\infty$ and using the boundedness of $\mathbf{v}$ and also applying Lemma 2.4, from the Hamiltonian identity (7.6) we obtain $H(\alpha) = H(\beta)$. From the assumptions we already know that $\nabla H \geq 0$ and H is not identically constant. This is in fact in contradiction with the mean value theorem that states there is a $t \in (0,1)$ such that

$$0 = H(\alpha) - H(\beta) = (\alpha-\beta)\cdot\nabla H(t(\alpha-\beta)+\beta) > 0.$$

This finishes the proof.

□

Acknowledgment Both authors appreciate Professor Juncheng Wei for his invitation to the University of British Columbia, where this work was initiated, and for his supports and fruitful discussions.

References

[1] Giovanni Alberti, Luigi Ambrosio, and Xavier Cabré. On a long-standing conjecture of E. De Giorgi: symmetry in 3D for general nonlinearities and a local minimality property. *Acta Appl. Math.*, 65(1-3):9–33, 2001.

[2] Nicholas Alikakos. On the structure of phase transition maps for three or more coexisting phases. *preprint*, 2013.

[3] Luigi Ambrosio and Xavier Cabré. Entire solutions of semilinear elliptic equations in $\mathbf{R}^3$ and a conjecture of De Giorgi. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 13(4):725–739 (electronic), 2000.

[4] Henri Berestycki, Luis Caffarelli, and Louis Nirenberg. Further qualitative properties for elliptic equations in unbounded domains. *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci.*, 25(1-2):69–94, 1997.

[5] Jean Bertoin. *Lévy processes*, volume 121 of *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1996.

[6] Xavier Cabré and Eleonora Cinti. Sharp energy estimates for nonlinear fractional diffusion equation. *To appear Calc. var and PDEs*, 2014.

[7] Xavier Cabré and Yannick Sire. Nonlinear equations for fractional Laplacians I: Regularity, maximum principles, and Hamiltonian estimates. *To appear in Annales de l'IHP, analyse nonlinéaire*, 2014.

[8] Xavier Cabré and Yannick Sire. Nonlinear equations for fractional Laplacians II: Existence, uniqueness and qualitative properties of solutions. *To appear in Trans. of the AMS*, 2014.

[9] L. Caffarelli and L. Silvestre. An extension problem related to the fractional Laplacian. *Commun. in PDE*, 32(8):1245, 2007.

[10] Luis Caffarelli and Luis Silvestre. Regularity theory for fully nonlinear integro-differential equations. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 62(5):597–638, 2009.

[11] Craig Cowan and Mostafa Fazly. Regularity of the extremal solutions associated to elliptic systems. *Revised*, page http://arxiv.org/pdf/1206.2629.pdf, 2014.

[12] Ennio De Giorgi. Convergence problems for functionals and operators. In *Proceedings of the International Meeting on Recent Methods in Nonlinear Analysis (Rome, 1978)*, pages 131–188, Bologna, 1979. Pitagora.

[13] Manuel del Pino, Michał Kowalczyk, and Juncheng Wei. On De Giorgi's conjecture in dimension $N \geq 9$. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 174(3):1485–1569, 2011.

[14] Serena Dipierro and Andrea Pinamonti. A geometric inequality and a symmetry result for elliptic systems involving the fractional Laplacian. *J. Differential Equations*, 255(1):85–119, 2013.

[15] L. Dupaigne and A. Farina. Stable solutions of $-\Delta u = f(u)$ in $\mathbf{R}^n$. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)*, pages 855–882, 2010.

[16] Louis Dupaigne and Yannick Sire. A Liouville theorem for non local elliptic equations. In *Symmetry for elliptic PDEs*, volume 528 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 105–114. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2010.

[17] Alberto Farina, Berardino Sciunzi, and Enrico Valdinoci. Bernstein and de giorgi type problems: new results via a geometric approach. *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci.*, 7(4):741–791, 2008.

[18] Mostafa Fazly and Nassif Ghoussoub. De Giorgi type results for elliptic systems. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, 47(3-4):809–823, 2013.

[19] N. Ghoussoub and C. Gui. On a conjecture of De Giorgi and some related problems. *Math. Ann.*, 311(3):481–491, 1998.

[20] Changfeng Gui. Hamiltonian identities for elliptic partial differential equations. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 254:904–933, 2008.

[21] Leon Karp. Asymptotic behavior of solutions of elliptic equations. I. Liouville-type theorems for linear and nonlinear equations on $\mathbf{R}^n$. *J. Analyse Math.*, 39:75–102, 1981.

[22] Leon Karp. Asymptotic behavior of solutions of elliptic equations. II. analogues of Liouville's theorem for solutions of inequalities on $\mathbf{R}^n, n \geq 3$. *J. Analyse Math.*, 39:103–115, 1981.

[23] N. S. Landkof. *Foundations of modern potential theory*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1972. Translated from the Russian by A. P. Doohovskoy, Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 180.

[24] Luisa Moschini. New Liouville theorems for linear second order degenerate elliptic equations in divergence form. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincar Anal. Non Linéaire*, 22(1):11–23, 2005.

[25] Ovidiu Savin. Regularity of flat level sets in phase transitions. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 169(1):41–78, 2009.

[26] Yannick Sire and Enrico Valdinoci. Fractional Laplacian phase transitions and boundary reactions: a geometric inequality and a symmetry result. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 256(6):1842–1864, 2009.

[27] Peter Sternberg and Kevin Zumbrun. A Poincaré inequality with applications to volume-constrained area-minimizing surfaces. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 503:63–85, 1998.

Department of Mathematical and Statistical Sciences, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada T6G 2G1

E-mail address: fazly@ualberta.ca

Universite Aix-Marseille and LATP 9, rue F. Joliot Curie, 13453 Mar- seille Cedex 13, France.

E-mail address: sire@cmi.univ-mrs.fr